**EMPLOYMENT DISPUTE BETWEEN**

**PLAYER X (MAURITANIA) (Claimant)**

**AND CLUB Y (UKRAINA) (Respondent)**

**Player X and Club Y entered into an employment agreement signed on 20 JUNE 2019**

**The employment agreement was drafted in Ukrainian and in English and it was duly signed.**

**On September 2019 they signed an amendment to the employment agreement only in Ukrainian by way of which the Player agreed to reduce his salary of 70 %**

**Claimant position:**

**The Player argues that he was forced to sign the agreement under duress and that, in any case, the President of the Club that that amendment was a “mere formality”, a certificate to produce to the Ukrainian Tax Authority.**

**The Player claims the original amount of remuneration as established in the contract**

**Respondent:**

**The Club rejects the Claimant’s allegations, it denies any form of duress.**

**Furthermore, it explains that an interpreter was hired to explain the content of the contract to the Player. Nevertheless is does not adduce any evidence about the presence of an interpreter.**

**The respondent claims that the new salary is the one agreed in the Amendment.**

**You are a DRC Member please decides the case**